UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
------X

Plaintiff,

MR. PRODUCE, INC. and SHARON GROSSMAN.

RODRIGO GONZALEZ ORTIZ,

-V-

Defendants.

## MEMORANDUM ORDER

No. 19-CV-00055-LTS-SDA

The Court has received and reviewed the parties' joint request for approval of the proposed settlement agreement in this Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") case. (See Docket Entry No. 12.) "[B]efore a district court enters judgment [on an FLSA settlement agreement], it must scrutinize the settlement agreement to determine that the settlement is fair and reasonable." Wolinsky v. Scholastic Inc., 900 F. Supp. 2d 332, 335 (S.D.N.Y. 2012). "The ultimate question is whether the proposed settlement reflects a fair and reasonable compromise of disputed issues rather than a mere waiver of statutory rights brought about by an employer's overreaching." Id. (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). In determining whether a settlement is fair and reasonable, a court considers the totality of the circumstances, encompassing a range of factors including: "(1) the plaintiff's range of possible recovery; (2) the extent to which the settlement will enable the parties to avoid anticipated burdens and expenses in establishing their respective claims and defenses; (3) the seriousness of the litigation risks faced by the parties; (4) whether the settlement agreement is the product of arm's-length bargaining between experienced counsel; and (5) the possibility of fraud or collusion." <u>Id.</u> (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). VERSION JUNE 14, 2019 1 SETTLEMENT APPROVAL ORD.DOCX

"Generally, there is a strong presumption in favor of finding a settlement fair, as the Court is

generally not in as good a position as the parties to determine the reasonableness of an FLSA

settlement." Lliguichuzhca v. Cinema 60, LLC, 948 F. Supp. 2d 362, 365 (S.D.N.Y. 2013)

(internal quotation marks and citation omitted). Moreover, following the Second Circuit's

decision in Cheeks v. Freeport Pancake House, Inc., 796 F.3d 199, 206 (2d Cir. 2015), parties

may not privately settle FLSA claims without approval of either the district court or the

Department of Labor. In dicta in Cheeks, the Second Circuit expressed hesitation with respect to

the validity of settlement agreements containing confidentiality provisions, general releases or

excessive attorneys' fees. See generally id.

The Court has carefully considered the parties' joint submission, which includes a

copy of the parties' settlement agreement and release of wage and hour claims. (See Docket

Entry No. 12-1.) In light of the factors articulated above, as well as the Court's review of the

agreement and the parties' representations as set forth in the parties' joint letter submission dated

June 11, 2019, the Court finds that the proposed settlement agreement, including the attorneys'

fees and expense award component, is fair and reasonable and that it satisfies the requirements of

Cheeks.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: New York, New York

June 14, 2019

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN

United States District Judge

SETTLEMENT APPROVAL ORD.DOCX

VERSION JUNE 14, 2019

2